

IN THE MATTER OF THE MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT ACT
REVISED STATUTES OF ALBERTA 2000, CHAPTER M-26
AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF AN APPEAL BY TOWN OF
SUNDRE OF MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTY BYLAW NO. LU
43/17 BEING A BYLAW OF MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTY IN
THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA TO AMEND LAND USE
BYLAW NO. 15/15 AFFECTING SE 32-32-5-5 PURSUANT TO
THE MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT ACT

I, Margaretha Bloem of the Town of Olds, Province of Alberta declare that:

1. I am the Director of Planning and Development for Mountain View County (the "County") and as such have personal knowledge of the matters contained herein except where stated to be based on information and belief in which case I verily believe same to be true.
2. I make this declaration in response to an appeal by the Town of Sundre (the "Town") of Mountain View County Bylaw No. LU 43/17, being a Bylaw of Mountain View County in the Province of Alberta to amend Land Use Bylaw No. 15/15 affecting SE 32-32-5-5 pursuant to the *Municipal Government Act* (the "Land Use Bylaw Amendment") under s. 690 of the *Municipal Government Act* (the "Notice of Appeal")
3. The Land Use Bylaw Amendment applies to approximately 159.78 acres (64.66 hectares) in the SE-32-32-5-W5, as shown in Schedule "A" to the Land Use Bylaw Amendment (the "Airport Lands").
4. The Town and the County have not undertaken mediation regarding the Land Use Bylaw Amendment and Notice of Appeal, for the reasons set out in this declaration.

South McDougal Flats Area Structure Plan

5. Section 3.3 of the South McDougal Flats Area Structure Plan (the "ASP") specifically identifies the Airport Lands as "reserved for future gravel extraction to help meet the County's needs".
6. The Town was a member of the Steering Committee involved in the preparation of the ASP, which was adopted by Mountain View County Bylaw No. 02/10 on March 24, 2010.
7. The Intermunicipal Planning Commission ("IPC") referred to in the Town of Sundre Intermunicipal Development Plan did not review and provide comments on the ASP. The IPC has not met since June 2, 2015.

Intermunicipal Collaboration

8. The County shares a boundary with 10 other municipalities (5 urban and 5 rural), and will be required to adopt an Intermunicipal Collaboration Framework with each of those municipalities no later than April 1, 2020.
9. On November 27, 2015, the Town and the County agreed upon Inter-municipal Collaboration Initiative Discussion Protocols (the "IC Protocols"). Attached and marked as Exhibit "A" to this my declaration is a copy of the IC Protocols.
10. County Council appointed three members of council to the Negotiating Committee in each of 2015, 2016 and 2017, as contemplated by the IC Protocols.
11. Meetings of the Negotiating Committee were scheduled to occur on January 19-20 and March 10-11, 2016. The Town cancelled both meetings of the Negotiating Committee.
12. On March 17, 2016, the County invoiced the Town for the costs incurred by the County as a result of the Town having cancelled the meetings on short notice, which invoice was paid by the Town.
13. Attached and marked as Exhibit "B" to this my declaration is a copy of the County's March 17, 2016 correspondence and invoice to the Town.
14. On April 6, 2016, the County advised the Town that it the circumstances it would be postponing its efforts in order to focus its attention on intermunicipal collaboration discussions with other municipalities.
15. Attached and marked as Exhibit "C" to this my declaration is a copy of the County's April 6, 2016, correspondence to the Town.
16. No steps have been taken to advance the discussions contemplated by the ICF Protocols since April 6, 2016.

Land Use Bylaw Amendment

17. On May 31, 2016, representatives from the County's Operations Department and consultant met with members of Town Administration to notify the Town of the County's intent to apply for redesignation of the Airport Lands, and to identify issues of concern to the Town.
18. On January 31, 2017, representatives from the County's Operations Department and County Council attended a Special Meeting of Town Council to advise the Town regarding the County's intention to apply for redesignation of the Airport Lands.
19. On March 15, 2017, the County held an open house in Sundre, Alberta. Members of Town Administration, including the Interim CAO and Director of Planning and Development at the time, attended the open house.

20. On July 17, 2017, the County circulated the application for redesignation of the Airport Lands to the Town. The correspondence between the Town and the County included as Exhibits "2", "3" and "4" to the Notice of Appeal were included in the Council Agenda for the Public Hearing on the Land Use Bylaw Amendment.

Notice of Appeal

21. The County received the Notice of Appeal on November 2, 2017.
22. On November 8, 2017, County Council directed County administration to have a discussion with their counterparts in Town administration to discuss the Notice of Appeal.
23. On November 10, 2017, the following representatives from County and Town Administration met to discuss the Notice of Appeal:

Mountain View County:

Tony Martens, Chief Administrative Officer
Margaretha Bloem, Director of Planning and Development
Jeff Holmes, Director of Legislative Community and Agricultural Services,

Town of Sundre:

Linda Nelson, Chief Administrative Officer
Mike Marko, Director of Planning and Development

24. I am advised and do verily believe one or more members of Town Administration that were present at the November 10, 2017 meeting reported to Town Council on November 14, 2017 regarding the proposal discussed at the November 10, 2017 meeting.
25. County Administration was awaiting a response from the Town prior to take any further steps to move forward with the proposal discussed at the November 10, 2017 meeting. I am advised by Tony Martens, the County's CAO, that he followed up with the Town's CAO multiple times regarding the proposal discussed at the November 10, 2017 meeting, but did not receive a response until November 20, 2017.
26. I am advised and do verily believe that the Reeve of the County and the Mayor of the Town met to discuss the appeal the week of November 13, 2017, at which time the Mayor of the Town advised that the proposal discussed at the November 10, 2017 meeting was not satisfactory to the Town.
27. Attached and marked as Exhibit "D" to this my declaration is a letter dated November 22, 2017 directed to the Town from the County.
28. On November 24, 2017, the County contacted the Town to request a meeting with administration the week of November 27, 2017.

29. Attached and marked as Exhibit "E" to this my declaration is a copy of email correspondence between the County and the Town between November 24 and 27, 2017.
30. As of the date of this declaration, I have not received a response to my November 27, 2017 email to Mr. Marko.
31. The County remains committed to negotiating a resolution of this matter through a variety of means, which could include mediation, and will continue to work with the Town to pursue other options in good faith.

AND I MAKE THIS SOLEMN DECLARATION CONSCIENTIOUSLY BELIEVING IT TO BE TRUE AND KNOWING THAT IT IS OF THE SAME FORCE AND EFFECT AS IF MADE UNDER OATH

DECLARED before me at Didsbury
in the Province of Alberta
this 29 day of November, 2017



Margaretha Bloem



A Commissioner for Oaths in and for Alberta

LEE-ANN G. GAUDETTE
A Commissioner for Oaths in and
for the Province of Alberta
My Commission Expires Oct. 23, 2018

This is Exhibit "A" referred to in the
Statutory Declaration
of Margaretta Blom
Sworn before me this 29 day
of November A.D., 2015
A Notary Public, A Commissioner for Oaths
in and for the Province of Alberta

LEE-ANN G. GAUDETTE
A Commissioner for Oaths in and
for the Province of Alberta
My Commission Expires Oct. 23, 2018

TOWN of SUNDRE / MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTY

Inter-municipal Collaboration Initiative

DISCUSSION PROTOCOLS

COPY

CONTEXT

1. These Protocols have been agreed to by the Town of Sundre and Mountain View County in support of and to enable their shared desire to work collaboratively together to achieve mutual benefit for both municipalities. In so doing they want to better understand each other and each other's aspirations while recognizing that neither can exist nor succeed in isolation of the other. Together they want to better position themselves to meet the challenges and take advantage of the opportunities that the future will inevitably hold.

PARTIES

2. The parties to this inter-municipal collaboration initiative and the discussions/negotiations flowing from it are the Town of Sundre ("the Town") and Mountain View County ("the County").

AGREEMENT to NEGOTIATE

3. The parties agree that they will participate in good faith to discuss and negotiate ways to collaborate more effectively together while taking into account the interests of each municipality and their unique relationship with each other.

NEGOTIATING TEAMS and NEGOTIATING COMMITTEE

4. The Councils for the Town and the County have appointed their representatives for the purposes of the discussions/negotiations related to this Initiative. If a permanent vacancy occurs, Councils for the respective municipality can appoint a new member of its Negotiating Team in their place.
5. The negotiations will be conducted by Negotiating Teams composed of the following elected officials and the Chief Administrative Officer for each of the parties:

a) For the Town of Sundre:

- i. Mayor Terry Leslie;
- ii. Councillor Paul Isaac;
- iii. Councillor Chris Vardas; and
- iv. CAO Dave Dubauskas.

b) For Mountain View County:

- i. Reeve Bruce Beattie;
- ii. Councillor Angela Aalbers;
- iii. Councillor Patricia McKean; and
- iv. CAO Tony Martens.

6. The Negotiating Teams together with the Facilitator constitute the Negotiating Committee.

ALTERNATES and OBSERVERS

7. There will be no alternates and no observers except by agreement between the parties.

ROLE and ATTENDANCE of ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT and/or TECHNICAL or EXPERT ADVISORS

8. Either party may, with the agreement of the other, invite external consultants and/or administrative support to attend a session with no less than 14 days' notice to the other party and the Facilitator. Confirmation of agreement to the requested attendance is to be provided by the other party no less than seven (7) days prior to the session.

REPRESENTATION at SESSIONS and QUORUM

9. Both parties agree that attending all sessions is a priority for all representatives recognizing that personal circumstances may preclude attending a session in whole or in part.
10. All Negotiating Team members will have a voice at the table. Administrative support and/or external consultants when in attendance will only speak on technical advice and only when requested to do so by one of the Negotiation Teams and allowed by the Facilitator who will chair the meetings (see Article 22).

11. A quorum is any three (3) members of the Negotiating Team from each party. Quorum will be established at the start of the meeting. If there is no quorum within a reasonable period of time after the time set for the meeting, as determined by the Facilitator, the meeting will be adjourned to the time of the next meeting.

DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY

12. The parties acknowledge and agree that any issue agreed to in discussions/negotiations is an agreement in principle that is subject to approval by the Councils for the Town and County.

RESOLUTION of ISSUES by NEGOTIATING TEAMS

13. The Negotiating Teams will work to achieve consensus on the issues or package of issues before them. Consensus is defined as: *"I can live with it"* for purposes of the discussions/negotiations. The parties agree that each Negotiating Team will own the consensus achieved through the discussions/negotiations and will represent it to their respective Councils.

FREEDOM to SPEAK and CONFIDENTIALITY

14. Except as set out elsewhere in these Protocols or unless the parties have specifically agreed to release information, all discussions/negotiations, summary notes of discussions/negotiations and all other records or information generated for the purposes of the discussions/negotiations are to be kept confidential recognizing that:
- a) other Council members will be informed about discussions/negotiations on a confidential basis;
 - b) any information that is in the public domain but not the confidential negotiation discussions about that information, may be used by either party; and
 - c) disclosure of information associated with the discussions/negotiations can be made to external consultants and/or administrative support. This will only be done on a "need to know basis" and the person(s) will be required to keep all associated information confidential in accordance with these Protocols.

WITHOUT PREJUDICE DISCUSSIONS, FULL DISCLOSURE and NO ATTRIBUTION

15. Full disclosure is essential to coming to an understanding and resolution of issues in the discussions/negotiations. Both parties agree that all negotiation/discussions are made "Without Prejudice" and cannot be used as evidence or information in any other process or proceeding without the express written agreement of the other party. Both parties also agree that what is said in the discussions/negotiations is not to be attributed to any individual.

ACCESS to INFORMATION

16. Both parties will make best efforts to provide requested information to the Negotiating Teams.

REPORTING to COUNCILS

17. After each session, each Negotiating Team will report back to their respective Councils during in camera meetings. The parties acknowledge and agree that any confidential negotiation discussions with the other party presented to Council during in camera meetings will not be disclosed by the Council, any individual councillor or municipal staff member to the media or any other person unless agreed to by the Negotiating Teams.

RESPECTFUL DISCUSSION and BEHAVIOUR

18. Negotiating Team members agree to engage in respectful behaviour at all times throughout the discussions/negotiations. As needed, any representative on the Negotiating Teams, or the Facilitator, may call for a "time out".

CAUCUSING

19. Both parties agree that caucusing will be used as needed and that either party or the Facilitator may call a caucus during the course of a session. A separate meeting room for caucusing will be made available to each party. The parties may request that the Facilitator be present during a caucus. The Facilitator will treat anything discussed during a caucus as being confidential.

COMMUNICATION with the MEDIA

20. The parties may agree to prepare and distribute a joint media release at various times throughout the discussions/negotiations. The Mayor and Reeve will act as the spokesperson for each party. Key messages to be released to the media and/or to the public will be discussed and finalized at the end of each negotiation session.

COMMUNICATION with the PUBLIC

21. Any consultation, communication or dissemination of information with or to the public will be done jointly. Each party's logos and authorized signatures are required on each joint communication with the public. Each municipality will act as the post office for joint communication to their respective ratepayers.

CHAIRING SESSIONS

22. The parties agree that the Facilitator will chair the sessions.

RECORD KEEPING

23. The Facilitator will provide confidential summary session notes that will summarize the Committee's discussion and need for information and a draft agenda for the next session within ten (10) calendar days of the end of a session. Specifically the Facilitator will, at the conclusion of each session, review the items discussed, and summarize the consensus achieved or still to be achieved by Negotiating Teams on the items discussed. In addition if any information has been requested during a session, the Facilitator will outline the requests and specify responsibilities for providing the information requested. Both Negotiating Teams will indicate their approval of this summary, or provide clarification at the conclusion of the session. The written summary session notes will be reviewed as one of the first orders of business at the next scheduled session.

SCHEDULE, LOCATION and HOSTING of SESSIONS

24. The intention is to meet every 3-6 weeks until discussions/negotiations are concluded. Session dates and times may be changed by mutual agreement of the parties.
25. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, sessions will take place in Sundre.

SESSION AGENDAS

26. The parties agree that the Facilitator will develop the agenda for each session. Session agendas will be distributed by the Facilitator to the main contacts identified by each party no less than seven (7) days prior to the date of the session. The Facilitator will also coordinate the distribution of any supporting materials through the main contacts identified by the parties. The main contacts will in turn ensure that the agenda and any supporting materials are distributed to their respective Negotiating Teams.

FACILITATOR PRIVILEGE

27. The parties agree that the Facilitator, Bill Sutherland is not a compellable witness and that neither party nor anyone involved in the proceedings will request that he act as a witness on behalf of either party or anyone involved in the proceedings or on behalf of any organization or individual in any future proceedings relating to any matter discussed in the Town of Sundre / Mountain View County inter-municipal collaboration initiative.

FACILITATOR'S MAIN CONTACT

28. The CAOs for each party are the main contacts for purposes of communication with the Facilitator. The main contacts are responsible for communicating with the Facilitator on behalf of their respective Negotiating Teams and for ensuring the internal distribution of discussion/negotiation related materials to their respective Teams.

Signed this 27 day of November, 2015 at Sundre, Alberta

For the Town of Sundre:

Mayor Terry Leslie

Councillor Paul Isaac

Councillor Chris Vardas

CAO Dave Dubauskas

For Mountain View County:

Reeve Bruce Beattie

Councillor Angela Aalbers

Councillor Patricia McKean

CAO Tony Martens



**Mountain View
COUNTY**

March 17, 2016

This is Exhibit "B" referred to in the
Statutory Declaration
of Margaretha Bloem
Sworn before me this 29 day
of November, A.D., 2015
A Notary Public, A Commissioner for Oaths
in and for the Province of Alberta

Dave Dubauskas, Chief Administrative Officer
Town of Sundre
Box 420
Sundre, AB T0M 1X0

Dear Mr. Dubauskas:

Re: Inter-Municipal Collaboration Initiative Meetings

LEE-ANN G. GAUDETTE
A Commissioner for Oaths in and
for the Province of Alberta
My Commission Expires Oct. 23, 2018

Enclosed please find Mountain View County Invoice No. 244948 in the amount of \$1,083.39. This represents the costs incurred by the County for the cancellation of the January and March Inter-Municipal Cooperation Initiative Meetings by the Town of Sundre.

The costs are broken down as follows:

• Airfare change costs and fees (Bill Sutherland)	\$558.39
• 2 - Hours preparation time (Bill Sutherland)	500.00
GST	<u>25.00</u>
Total	\$1,083.39

Sincerely,

Tony Martens
Chief Administrative Officer

TM/ge



Mountain View
C O U N T Y

1408 - Twp Rd 320
Postal Bag 100
Didsbury, AB
T0M 0W0
Ph: 403.335.3311

I N V O I C E

Page #
1

Invoice Date 2016/03/09	Customer # C 275	Invoice # 244948	GST Registration # R121758056
----------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------------------------

Invoice Total: \$ 1,083.39

**Account Holder:
TOWN OF SUNDRE**

Invoice Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Tax Cd	GST	Amount
CONSULTING SERVICES JAN-MAR Charge for Inter-Municipal Collaboration Initiative Meeting Facilitator January & March 2016	2.000	250.00	T	25.00	525.00
cancelled meeting expenses CANCELLED MEETING EXPENSES	1.000	558.39	I	26.59	558.39

51.59 1,083.39

Invoice Note:

Messages:
TERMS NET 30 DAYS. INTEREST CHARGE OF 1.5% PER MONTH (18%/YEAR) ADDED
ON ACCOUNTS THAT REMAIN UNPAID AFTER 30 DAYS FROM DATE OF INVOICE.



Please make cheques payable to:
Mountain View County

Invoice Date 2016/03/09	Customer # C 275	Invoice # 244948	Amount Due \$ 1,083.39
----------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------------

TOWN OF SUNDRE
BOX 420
SUNDRE AB T0M 1X0

Amount Paid
\$



275



Mountain View C O U N T Y

DF

COPY

April 6, 2016

Via Email: townmail@sundre.com

Mayor Terry Leslie
Town of Sundre
Box 420
Sundre, AB T0M 1X0

Re: Inter-municipal Collaboration Initiative (ICI)

Mountain View County discussed the Inter-municipal Collaboration Initiative discussions that have taken place between the Town and the County. The County feels strongly that the ICI discussions are necessary for the future sustainability and growth of both the Town and the County, and for our ongoing relationship.

We regret to advise that at this time the County will be postponing the ICI process with Sundre until later this year. We are making this decision because with the amount of time that has lapsed since our last meeting November 26 and 27, 2015 we would need to start from the beginning and we need to start conversations with other Municipalities as the current agreements will expire at the end of this year.

We will discuss with you at a regular MOA meeting the process going forward including how we collectively agree to continue with the current agreement until such time as a new agreement can be reached.

The County wants to express to Sundre that we are keenly interested in working with Sundre to come to mutually beneficial agreements that will ensure the success and sustainability of both of our municipalities.

Sincerely,

Patricia McKean
Deputy Reeve

TM/vl

This is Exhibit "C" referred to in the
Statutory Declaration
of Margaretha Bloem

Sworn before me this 29 day
of November A.D., 2017

A Notary Public, A Commissioner for Oaths
in and for the Province of Alberta

LEE-ANN G. GAUDETTE
A Commissioner for Oaths in and
for the Province of Alberta
My Commission Expires Oct. 23, 2018



**Mountain View
COUNTY**

November 22, 2017

Ms. Linda Nelson, Chief Administrative Officer
Town of Sundre
P.O. Box 420
Sundre, AB TOM 1X0

Dear Ms. Nelson:

Re: Section 690 Appeal - Airport Gravel Pit

Further to our conversations, and upon careful consideration of your offer to put off the Appeal Hearing until late February 2018, Mountain View County has decided on taking another route.

The County will be advising the MGB that we would like to proceed with the Preliminary Hearing on December 6, 2017 and request that we use an MGB Case Manager to facilitate our discussions rather than the Town and County working independently.

We will further ask the MGB to narrow the scope of the Appeal to those issues that may be detrimental to the Town of Sundre.

It is our desire to continue working with the Town, Administration to Administration, on issues that we can resolve. If there are dates between now and December 6 that you are available to meet please advise and we will attempt to schedule a meeting.

Finally, the County will commit to establish a Committee that would include representatives from the community, including the Town of Sundre, once the Land Use issue has been resolved and prior to the Development Permit being applied for.

It is our belief that by proceeding to the Preliminary Hearing, and working through an MGB Case Manger, we will bring structure and certainty to the process which we believe will prove beneficial to both the Town and the County.

If you have any questions pertaining to the County's position I will be happy to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

for Tony Martens
Chief Administrative Officer

TM/ge

Cc Cindy Miller Reade, Case Manager, MGB

T 403 335 3311 1 877 264 9754 F 403 335 9207
1408 Twp Rd 320 Postal Bag 100 Didsbury, AB, Canada TOM 0W0
www.mountainviewcounty.ca

Building Rural Better

This is Exhibit "D" referred to in the
Statutory Declaration
of Margaretha Bloem

Sworn before me this 22 day
of November, A.D., 2017

A Notary Public, A Commissioner for Oaths
in and for the Province of Alberta

LEE-ANN G. GAUBETTE

A Commissioner for Oaths in and
for the Province of Alberta

My Commission Expires Oct. 23, 2018

Michelle L. Neveu

From: Michelle L. Neveu
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 12:52 PM
To: Michelle L. Neveu
Subject: FW: Upcoming MGB Preliminary Hearing

Michelle L. Neveu | Legal Assistant
Direct: 780.497.3322

From: Margaretha Bloem [<mailto:mbloem@mvcounty.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 2:24 PM
To: Daina J. Young
Subject: FW: Upcoming MGB Preliminary Hearing

This is Exhibit "E" referred to in the
Statutory Declaration
of Margaretha Bloem
Sworn before me this 29 day
of November A.D., 20 17
LEE-ANN G. GAUDETTE
A Notary Public, A Commissioner for Oaths
in and for the Province of Alberta

LEE-ANN G. GAUDETTE
A Commissioner for Oaths in and
for the Province of Alberta
My Commission Expires Oct. 23, 2018

From: Margaretha Bloem
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 12:45 PM
To: 'Mike Marko' <mike.m@sundre.com>
Cc: cindy.millerreade@gov.ab.ca; Linda Nelson <linda.n@sundre.com>; Tony Martens <tmartens@mvcounty.com>;
Betty Ann Fountain <bettyann.f@sundre.com>
Subject: RE: Upcoming MGB Preliminary Hearing

Good afternoon Mike,

Without Linda attending I suggest that we reschedule the meeting to a date and time both CAOs can attend. Please advise of dates and times that you and Linda can attend here at the County office and we will do our best to accommodate it. Alternating between the Town office and the County office seems like a reasonable way to manage time.

The Purpose of the Meeting will be for the County to better understand the Town's concerns and determine if a better understanding can narrow the scope of the eight (8) reasons listed in the Town's Notice of Appeal letter dated November 1, 2017: 1) Land Use; 2) Economic Development; 3) Environmental; 4) Flood Mapping; 5) Air Quality, Noise and other Nuisances; 6) Transportation; 7) Site Design; and 8) Development Permit considerations. The meeting will not be attended by legal counsel and it will be Tony (or Jeff if Tony cannot attend) and myself.

Regards,
Margaretha

From: Mike Marko [<mailto:mike.m@sundre.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 9:52 AM
To: Margaretha Bloem <mbloem@mvcounty.com>
Cc: cindy.millerreade@gov.ab.ca; Linda Nelson <linda.n@sundre.com>; Tony Martens <tmartens@mvcounty.com>;
Betty Ann Fountain <bettyann.f@sundre.com>
Subject: Re: Upcoming MGB Preliminary Hearing

Margaretha: Further to your email of November 24th, I may be available for a meeting tomorrow either late morning (11 am) or after 1 pm. Linda, however, is not available tomorrow. Can you first provide an agenda for this meeting, what issues you want to discuss, and who will be there on behalf of the County.

Also, for the purpose of this matter, I will be the point of contact on behalf of the Town.

Regards,

Mike

On Fri, Nov 24, 2017 at 11:01 AM, Margaretha Bloem <mbloem@mvcountry.com> wrote:

Good morning Mike,

The County has retained Daina Young from Reynolds Mirth Richards & Farmer to represent the County. A formal letter regarding appointment of our legal counsel will be submitted to the MGB shortly and we will attend the preliminary hearing on December 6, 2017. We see benefit to be there and would encourage you to consider attending. It will be appreciated if both Administrations can meet next week on Monday (we are not available 10 -11 am or 2:30 – 3:30 pm) or Tuesday anytime here at the County office. Let me know if you are available and I will schedule the meeting.

Regards,

Margaretha

From: Mike Marko [mailto:mike.m@sundre.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 23, 2017 3:52 PM

To: Margaretha Bloem <mbloem@mvcountry.com>

Cc: cindy.millerreade@gov.ab.ca; mgbmail@gov.ab.ca; Linda Nelson <linda.n@sundre.com>; Tony Martens <tmartens@mvcountry.com>

Subject: Upcoming MGB Preliminary Hearing

It appears there are options for the Town and County to address the upcoming preliminary appeal on December 6th.

It might be worthwhile for the two parties to file a written submission for the preliminary hearing, rather than attend in person. Can you please advise of your preference. The Board has requested written submissions by no later than November 30th.

Also, with respect to the County's letter of November 22 (from the CAO), we will review potential dates to continue with Administration to Administration talks.

Regards,

