

Adopted

Minutes

Economic Growth Node Steering Committee

Mountain View County

Date: November 30, 2016

Present: Robin Fair (Chair)
Ken Heck – Councillor, Mountain View County
Jeremy Sayer – Councillor, Mountain View County
Angela Aalbers – Councillor, Mountain View County

Matthew Pawlow – Manager Planning Services
Geneva Chaudhary – Planner
Adena Malyk – Economic Development Officer
Kylie Lashmar – Recording Secretary

Absent: Tracey Connatty - Planner
Rick Blair – Deputy Mayor, Town of Carstairs
Shannon Wilcox (Vice Chair)
Rob Bell

1. Call to Order

R. Fair Called Meeting to Order at 9:07 a.m.

2. Agenda

2.1 Adoption of Agenda

K. Heck moved to adopt the agenda of November 30, 2016

Carried

3. Adoption of Minutes

J. Sayer moved to adopt the minutes of October 28, 2016 as presented

Carried

4. Business Arising

4.1 Amended Steering Committee Terms of Reference re: Member Attendance

- Discussion was had related to the wording of the amendment to the TOR, and that it starts out by referring to plural members and ends by

November 30, 2016

Adopted

referring to a singular member, there should be consistency within this amendment.

- Discussion was had in regards to the amended TOR being passed via a motion made by Council, administration clarified that a motion was passed regarding the changes.

Moved by A. Aalbers to change the amendment in the Terms of Reference to specify the absence of a singular steering committee member rather than plural members.

Carried.

- The committee reviewed the letters to be mailed to the absent members and determined that 14 days is a sufficient amount of time for a response from the absent committee members. Administration will work towards having a report ready for Council for the January 11, 2017 Council meeting.

5. Delegations

N/A

7. New Business

7.1 Review the Open House Summary:

- Administration compiled correspondence collected at the Open House for the Steering Committee to review as well as reviewed the Risks and Opportunities, providing some clarification for the public's reasons for choosing the level of agreement or non-agreement for the Risks and Opportunities provided.
- A few specific concerns/conversations had at the open house related to the "dots" board were the protection of the environment/ESAs, and water/sewer services.
- A few concerns specified in the written submissions were the size of the ASP area and the identification of agricultural land as commercial/industrial areas for the ASP. At future public open houses, a discussion regarding the specific reasons the Steering Committee has chosen the area they have for the ASP.
- Discussion was had related to the malicious content received from the public in response to the information provided at the recent open house and how to deal with the content.

Moved by: K. Heck

All correspondence will be filed in accordance with the County's Record Management policies. Any correspondence of a malicious or inappropriate nature will be removed while retaining information pertinent to the decision making process of the Committee.

Carried.

Adopted

- Concerns with how accurate the boards that public members provided their feedback on are, as both the Risks and Opportunities were presented together on the same board. A future solution might be to have risks and opportunities provided separately on their own charts to lower the risk of confusion for the public.
- Concerns with the lack of education of the public in regards to the planning process related to the development of land and the negative impact on the public's thoughts and comments related to the ASP process. For future open houses, the public will be provided with more information for a better understanding of the ASP process.
- Conversation was had related to reducing the area size of the ASP or coming up with a better way of explaining to the public why the steering committee has chosen the size of the ASP area. The steering committee then reviewed their decisions regarding the existing chosen size of the ASP area.

The Steering Committee took a break at 10:41 a.m. reconvening at 10:57 a.m.

- During the break a conversation was had with the Director of Legislative Services in regards to malicious or derogatory correspondence received by the steering committee, and it was determined that any malicious or derogatory correspondence that is unsigned should be shredded and not kept on file, maintaining only constructive comments related to the ASP process.

Moved by: R. Fair

To replace "removed" with "destroyed" in previous motion relating to correspondence received by the Steering Committee.

Carried.

7.2 & 7.3 Discuss Future Land Use Potential and Locations:

- Administration reviewed a set of potential land use maps related to the ASP area with the Steering Committee.
- Discussion was had relating to ESA areas or Wetlands and areas that should be protected within the ASP area and how to appropriately identify these areas within the ASP.
- Discussion was had related to the possible implementation of on-site requirements of certain industrial development to have storm water management available on-site where required.
- Discussion was had related to excluding a few portions of the ASP area due to land locked quarters as well as potential gravel deposits.
- Discussion was had related to implementing phasing development within the ASP area.
- Discussion was had related to the preservation of agricultural land by identification of specific agricultural areas not suitable for business park or commercial use within the ASP.

Adopted

- Discussion was had related to the completion of the servicing study. Administration clarified that the consultant is waiting for a final say in what types of land uses there will be within the ASP area.
- Discussion was had related to being able to better inform the public on why the Steering Committee has chosen the size of area that they did as well as being mindful of the wording of the potential uses of the area (use Business Park instead of Commercial) while still having the carefully placed local commercial areas within the ASP area.
- Discussion related to the intent of the ASP area being used specifically for commercial/business park development.
- The discussion of mapping/boundary area as well as ESA areas will be continued at the next meeting.

Next meeting: Monday January 23 @ 9 a.m.

8. Correspondence

N/A

9. Confidential Items

N/A

10. Adjournment @ 11:49 a.m.

Moved By: K. Heck



Chair

I hereby certify these minutes are correct.



Secretary, Economic Growth Node Steering Committee

November 30, 2016